

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,077	06/20/2003	William H. Fort	PCB105	7049	
32047 759 GROSSMAN TI	04/20/200 OKER, PERREAU	EXAMINER			
55 SOUTH COM	MERICAL STREET	KRAUSE, JUSTIN MITCHELL			
MANCHESTER, NH 03101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3682		
		<u> </u>			
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONT	24	04/20/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	ation No. Applicant(s)					
		10/601,077		FORT ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Justin Kraus		3682				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the o	over sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event will apply and will e e, cause the applice	S COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a lation to become ABANDONE!	L. ely filed the mailing date of this ( ) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status	•							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	April 2007						
· —		s action is no	n-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
/	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	,						
		ding in the an	olication					
1/63	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-10,12,13 and 23-29</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		indoration.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
7)	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-10,12,13,23-29</u> is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
'=	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election rec	wirement					
		), 0,000,011 100						
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)	] objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required	if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign		- , ,	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
					•			
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4	) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	-	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		i)  Notice of Informal P i)  Other:	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 16, 2007 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 12, 23, 25, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smale et al (US Patent 5,566,581).

Smale discloses an actuator comprising:

- -A base plate (46)
- -A latching lever (34) coupled to the base plate (fig 2) pivotable between first and second positions and comprising a lever bearing surface (the flat surface on the underside, generally 40)
  - -A stationary bearing surface (in figure 3, above numeral 56)

Application/Control Number: 10/601,077

Art Unit: 3682

-A solenoid (52) coupled to the base plate, comprising a plunger (56) movable between an extended position and a retracted position, in the first position, the plunger is disposed between the lever bearing surface and the stationary bearing surface, in the retracted position of the plunger, the lever is not blocked from pivoting between the first and second positions.

The lever is biased towards one of the first and second positions.

The plunger is biased towards the extended position when the solenoid is in an unenergized state.

A mechanical switch (80) is closed when the lever is in the first or second position.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smale in view of Dörr et al (US Patent 5,379,872).

Smale discloses all of the claimed limitations as described above.

Smale does not disclose one of said lever bearing surface and said stationary bearing surface comprising a roller.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,077

Art Unit: 3682

Dörr teaches an actuator with a roller (9), which allows the actuator to move with low forces (Col 4, lines 42-44).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Smale and add a roller as taught by Dörr to one of, or both of the lever bearing surface (13) and the stationary bearing surface (24) to reduce friction (Col 3, line 15) and allow the actuator to be moved with low forces.

Claims 4, 10 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smale in view of Kataumi et al (US Patent 5,421,792).

Smale discloses all of the claimed limitations as described above, including a spring (30) which biases the lever, but does not specify a type of spring.

Kataumi teaches a torsion spring (46) to press a lever in the park position (Col 5, lines 28-32).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Smale and add a torsion spring as taught by Kataumi in order to bias the latching lever towards a park position.

Claims 8, 13 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smale in view of Mochida (US Patent 4,473,141).

Smale discloses all of the claimed subject matter as described above but does not disclose a wedge shaped portion on the plunger.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,077 Page 5

Art Unit: 3682

Mochida teaches a wedge shaped portion of a plunger (31a) for the purpose of forcing the lever bearing surface towards one of the first and second positions, preventing the lever from being jammed in an intermediate position. (Col 3, lines 49-51).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the plunger of Smale with a wedge shaped portion to make the engagement with the lever bearing surface easier by providing a sloped surface for it to travel on and biasing it towards the first or second position, preventing the lever bearing surface from becoming jammed in an intermediate position.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 16, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Smale does not show a lever and solenoid coupled to a base plate. The 10<sup>th</sup> Edition of Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary defines "couple" as, "to join for combined effect". The lever is therefore coupled to the base plate 46 as shown in figure 2. Couple is also defined as "to fasten together". The solenoid is fastened to the base plate. Therefore the lever and solenoid are both coupled to the base plate.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,077

Art Unit: 3682

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Krause whose telephone number is 571-272-3012. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on 571-272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMK 418107

Thomas R. Hannon Primary Examiner Page 6